

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 10/062,993 | TEIG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Phallaka Kik | 2825 | |

Status of Application: *pending, allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:20 P.M.

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Phalok K.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's Representative that claim 20 has been amended so that --for-- has been inserted after "instructions" (line 2) for proper grammar, to overcome minor informalties objection. Since the Examiner was unable to reach Applicant's Representative, the message was left on his answering machine.